

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/026,610	12/21/2001	Stephen Quirk	15829	9118	
	12/15/2004			EXAMINER	
KIMBERLY-CLARK WORLDWIDE, INC. 401 NORTH LAKE STREET			SNAY, JEFFREY R		
NEENAH, W			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1743		
			DATE MAILED: 12/15/2004	DATE MAILED: 12/15/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/026,610	QUIRK ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jeffrey R. Snay	1743			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app					
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.			
Status						
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 Oc	ctober 2004.				
	nis action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E.	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims	,				
	Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration				
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	in from consideration.				
	Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement				
	on Papers	ologion requirement.				
	-					
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce					
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
441	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)[_] 1	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents		-(d) or (f).			
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
;	Copies of the certified copies of the priorit	y documents have been receive	d in this National Stage			
* 9.	application from the International Bureau ((PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
36	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	the certified copies not received	d.			
Attachment(
Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)			
) Informa	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat	e tent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper i	No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	топ Арриовион (СТО-132)			
Patent and Trac OL-326 (Rev		on Summary Part	of Paper No./Mail Date 12132004			

Application/Control Number: 10/026,610

Art Unit: 1743

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Oberhardt ('133).

Oberhardt disclose an analytical device which comprises all of the presently recited features. Specifically, see Figures 3-4B of Oberhardt depicting an assay device comprising a test element (30) and a means for inducing a pressure differential (syringe) for driving sample fluid to and from the test element. The surface of test element (30) is optically analyzed after contact with the sample (e.g. column 6, lines 20-25).

Regarding the presently recited limitation that the test surface is a diffraction based surface, including binders printed thereon, see Oberhardt at column 9, first paragraph, teaching that specific binding partners are immobilized on the test surface for interaction with sample analyte. Such immobilization of binding partners is the only definition given by the instant specification for a "diffraction based" surface, and the anticipating structure of Oberhardt therefore implicitly anticipates any presently recited intended use.

Page 3

Art Unit: 1743

Regarding the presently recited means for inducing a pressure differential as comprising either a positive or negative pressure inducement, see Oberhardt at Figures 4A and 4B disclosing both options.

Regarding the presently recited means for informing a user that a particular position has been reached, note Figure 3 of Oberhardt. The depicted syringe includes a syringe piston having a diameter greater than the distal end of the syringe chamber. As such, the abuttment of the syringe piston at the end of its stroke would have provided an indication of its position.

Regarding the presently recited means for separating and means for diluting, see Oberhardt at column 9, lines 61-65, and column 16, lines 5-8, respectively, teaching these features.

Regarding the presently recited diffraction enhancing elements, see Oberhardt at column 9, first paragraph, teaching the presence of either magnetic or glass beads.

Such beads would have inherently constituted diffraction enhancing elements.

Regarding the presently recited feature that the test element is removable, see Figure 3 of Oberhardt depicting the test element as connected to the syringe via a luer fitting. Such fitting would have rendered the element removable from the syringe. See also column 6, lines 2-25, disclosing operation of the device as including placing the test element in the testing device, which implies also that the test element can be subsequently removed.

Application/Control Number: 10/026,610

Art Unit: 1743

Page 4

Regarding the presently recited capillary, see Oberhardt at column 6, lines 45-53 disclosing a capillary providing capillary action as the motive force for transferring sample fluid across the test surface.

- 3. Applicant's arguments filed 10-01-04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant asserts that Oberharardt et al fail to teach a "means to clear most of the sample from from the test surface" (applicant's remarks, page 2). This language is not present in the claims. Claim 1 in fact recites a "means for inducing a pressure differential on a sample to direct the sample to a test surface and then clear the sample or a significant portion of the sample from the test surface so that the test surface can be analyzed." Such a means for inducing pressure is clearly present in Oberhardt et al as explained in the grounds of rejection.
- 4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 1743

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey R. Snay whose telephone number is (571) 272-1264. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill A. Warden can be reached on (571) 272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Jeffrey R. Snay Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1743